Reaction of Cyclic Thioureas with 2-Benzylidenecycloalkanones

Pál Perjési*a, András Földesia, Dezső Szabóa, Adolf Zschunkeb, and Marianna Mákc

Department of Chemistry, University Medical School^a, H-7643 Pécs, P. O. Box 99, Hungary

Department of Chemistry, Humboldt University^b, DDR-1058 Berlin, P. O. Box 1297, GDR

Central Research Institute of Chemistry, Hungarian Academy of Sciences^c, H-1525 Budapest, P. O. Box 17, Hungary

Received March 20, 1987

BF₃·OEt₂-catalyzed reaction of cyclic thioureas (1) with 2-benzylidenecycloalkanones (2) afforded tricyclic 1,3-thiazines (3, 4). The progress of the reactions was found to depend on the ring size of both 1 and 2.

While the acid-catalyzed reaction of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds with thiourea has been known for \log^{10} , the similar reaction of cyclic thioureas has hardly been investigated. In the only case 2-imidazolidinethione was treated with α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds in the presence of hydrochloric acid as catalyst; these experiments, however, failed to give the expected 1,3-thiazine derivatives 20 .

In continuation of our synthetic and stereochemical investigations on the reactions of cyclic α,β -unsaturated ketones with thiocarbonic acid derivatives³⁾, we were interested in elaborating the ring closure of 2-benzylidenecycloalkanones with cyclic thioureas. In these reactions formation of tricyclic 1,3-thiazine derivatives was supposed, which were interesting from both pharmacological and chemical aspects⁴⁾.

Cyclic thioureas 1a, b were treated with 2-benzylidenecycloal-kanones 2a-c in absolute chloroform in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ as catalyst. The progress of the reactions strongly depends on the ring size of both the cyclic thioureas and the α,β -unsaturated ketones. Thus with 3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2(1*H*)-pyrimidinethione (1b) the ring closure took place only with 2b to give 3c. The other unsaturated ketones did not react with 1b, even not with longer reaction times nor higher temperatures.

An interesting selectivity was observed concerning the location of the carbon-carbon double bond in the compounds obtained. The reaction of 2a and 2b led to the formation of compounds 3a-c containing the double bond in the ring C. Under similar conditions 2c gave 4a in which the double bond is located between the annelated carbon atoms of B/C rings (Scheme)⁵⁾.

¹H-NMR analysis of the crude products showed that in all reactions only one of the possible isomers had been formed. In the IR spectra the lack of the vC = O and the vOH bands is unambiguous evidence of the progress of the condensation reactions. This was also supported by mass spectrometric studies. The mass spectra of compounds 3 and 4 (see Experimental) exhibited abundant peaks of molecular ions, the exact masses of which were found to correspond to the structural formulae presented in the Scheme. The fragmentation patterns show closely related pictures and characteristic processes involving 1) selective cleavages of rings A and B, e.g. formation of ions at m/z 86 for 3a, 3b, and 4a (five-membered ring A) and at m/z 100 for 3c (six-membered ring A); 2) alkyl losses from ring C (e.g. CH₃, C₂H₅, and C₃H₇ elimination from M⁺ for 4a).

Though in the above-mentioned type of reaction the attack of the soft nucleophilic sulfur of the cyclic thioureas at the β carbon of the reactant is expected ²⁾, the angular constitution of the compounds was also corroborated by NMR methods. The ¹³C-NMR spectra of compounds 3 and 4 strongly suggest this structure since the chemical shifts of the carbon atoms of the 1,3-thiazine rings support better the angular constitution than the alternative linear one ⁶⁾. ¹H-¹H 1D NOE investigations ⁷⁾ gave further evidence in support of the structures: the NOE difference spectra display the most remarkable enhancement of the signals of 8-H (12%), 9-H (15%), 10-H (21%), and 10-H (8%) for compounds 3a, 3b, 3c, and 4a, respectively, during the saturation of the signal of the 1-H protons.

The isomeric structures 3 and 4 can be easily distinguished on the basis of the sign of the benzylic proton, which is a singlet for 4a and shows a doublet for 3a-c. The magnitudes of ${}^3J_{5,5a}$ and ${}^3J_{6,6a}$ (≈ 11 Hz) for 3a, b and c, respectively, are in agreement with a dihedral angle being either about 0° or $180^{\circ 80}$. On considering the dihedral angles in each conformation of the possible configurational isomers of 3b and 3c, these vicinal coupling constants indicate the antiperiplanar position of these methine protons, i.e. the trans configuration of these compounds.

In the ¹H-NMR spectra of 3a the relatively large (2.1 Hz) $^4J_{8,5a}$ allylic coupling constant suggests the proton 5a-H to be axial to the heteroring, i.e. the conformation of the B/C ring is C-outside. The diaxial steric interaction discussed above, however, can occur

in the boat (with dihedral angle of about 10°) or in the chair (with dihedral angle of about 170°) conformation of the 1,3-thiazine ring with cis or trans configuration, respectively. So in this case additional ¹H-¹H 1D NOE measurements were carried out in order to solve the problem of the relative configuration. Saturation of the resonance signal of 6-H_{ax} (which was assigned to be in axial position on the basis of its coupling constants showing three diaxial interactions) enhanced the signal of 5-H (9%), while the saturation of the resonance signal of 6-H_{eq} enhanced the signal of 5a-H (8%). These observations corroborate the trans configuration of 3a.

It is worth mentioning that the determination of the relative configuration of C-5 and C-5a (similarly to that of C-6 and C-6a in 3c) is not possible on the basis of the ¹³C-NMR spectra, however, the similar carbon chemical shifts of the phenyl groups and those of the C-5 or C-6 atoms (in 3a, b and c, respectively) point to identical relative configuration of these compounds, too⁹.

This work was supported by the Hungarian Ministry of Health. The authors express their thanks to Dr. T. Lóránd for his kind efforts during this work.

Experimental

Melting points are uncorrected. — IR spectra: Specord 75 IR. — Mass spectra: AEI MS-902 (70eV). — ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra: Bruker WP-200 (CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard, 50.28 and 200 MHz for ¹³C and ¹H NMR, respectively. - ¹H-¹H 1D NOE measurements: ASPECT 2000 micro program, D1 = 10s, D2 = 2ms). - Kieselgel Merck (70-230 mesh).

General Procedure: Compounds 1a, b (0.020 mmol) were suspended in 100 ml of absol. CHCl₃ solution of unsaturated ketone 2a-c (0.020 mol). The mixture was cooled to -5 °C and BF₃·OEt₂ (0.040 mol) was added dropwise with stirring during 1/2 h. It was stirred with cooling for additional 2 h, then the stirring was continued at room temperature. After completing the reaction (5-10)days) the mixture was cooled and made alkaline with 25% NH₃ solution. The aqueous solution was separated, extracted with CHCl₃ and the combined organic layers were washed with water, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. The oily residue was chromatographed over silica (benzene as eluent) to give a solid. It was recrystallized to yield colourless crystals of 3 and 4.

trans-1,2,5,5 a,6,7-Hexahydro-5-phenylcyclopent [d]imidazo [2,1b][1,3]thiazine (3a): Yield 2.95 g (58%), m.p. 129-131°C (petroleum ether). – IR (CCl₄): $2930/2850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (CH), 1645 (C=N), 1570 cm^{-1} (C = C). – ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.38 - 7.28$ (m; 5H, aromatic H), 4.58 (dt, $^{4}J_{8.5a} = 2.1$, $^{3}J_{8.7} = 4.5$ Hz; 1H, 8-H), 4.07 (d, $^{3}J_{5.5a} = 11.2$ Hz; 1H, 5-H), 4.10-3.58 (m; 4H, 1,2-H), 3.48-3.32 (m; 1H, 5a-H), 2.35 - 2.25 (m; 2H, 7-H), 1.99 - 1.84 (m; 1H, 6-H_{eq}), 1.53 - 1.32 (m, $^{2}J_{6ax,6eq} = 12.8, |^{3}J_{6ax,7eq} + ^{3}J_{6ax,7ax}| = 19.0, ^{3}J_{6ax,5a} = 9.6 \text{ Hz}; 1H, 6 H_{ax}$). - ¹³C NMR: δ = 156.3 (C-3a), 140.3 (C-8a), 137.2 (C-1'), 128.9a (C-2'), 128.4 (C-4'), 128.2a (C-3'), 99.6 (C-8), 53.2 (C-2), 50.5 (C-5), 48.1 (C-5a), 47.3 (C-1), 29.7^b (C-6), 28.3^b (C-7); a, b alternative assignment also possible. – MS: m/z (%) = 257(21), 256(100, M⁺), 255(26), 228(3), 227(3.5), 223(5), 215(3.5), 184(15), 139.0349 $(64, C_6H_7N_2S^+)$, 117(3), 115(7), 91(15), 86.0058 (68, $C_3H_4NS^+$).

> C₁₅H₁₆N₂S (256.4) Calcd. C 70.28 H 6.29 S 12.51 Found C 70.15 H 6.35 S 12.47

trans-1,2,5 a,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5-phenyl-5 H-imidazo [1,2-a][3,1]benzothiazine (3b): Yield 3.20 g (59%), m.p. 168-171°C (ether). -IR (CCl₄): $2940/2855 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (CH), 1645 (C=N), 1570 (C=C). $- {}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR: $\delta = 7.43 - 7.26$ (m; 5H, aromatic H), 4.77 (dt, ${}^4J_{9,5a} = 1.3$, ${}^{3}J_{9,8} = 4.0 \text{ Hz}$; 1 H, 9-H), 4.07 (d, ${}^{3}J_{5,5a} = 11.1 \text{ Hz}$; 1 H, 5-H), 3.95 - 3.58 (m; 4H, 1,2-H), 2.95 - 2.87 (m; 1H, 5a-H), 2.56 - 1.04 (m; 6H, 8,7,6-H). $- {}^{13}$ C NMR: $\delta = 155.4$ (C-3a), 137.4 (C-9a), 136.1

(C-1'), 128.7^a (C-2'), 128.2 (C-4'), 128.1^a (C-3'), 101.1 (C-9), 57.8 (C-2), 52.2 (C-1), 48.8 (C-5), 41.4 (C-5a), 27.8^b (C-6), 24.1^b (C-8), 21.6 (C-7); a, b alternative assignment also possible. — MS: m/z (%) = 271 (22), 270 (100, M⁺), 269 (24), 242 (9), 241 (6), 237 (4), 227 (2), 212 (2), $198.1274(7, C_{14}H_{16}N^+), 185(7), 184(5), 170.0922 (10, C_{12}H_{12}N^+),$ 117.0716 (19, C₉H₉⁺), 115(9), 91(20), 86(19).

> $C_{16}H_{18}N_2S$ (270.4) Calcd. C 71.07 H 6.71 S 11.86 Found C 70.92 H 6.85 S 11.75

trans-2,3,6a,7,8,9-Hexahydro-6-phenyl-1H,6H-pyrimido [1,2a][3,1]benzothiazine (3c): Yield 3.95 g (69%), m.p. 170-172°C (ether). – IR (CCl₄): 2940/2855 cm⁻¹ (CH), 1630 (C=N), 1590(C=C). - ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.39 - 7.24$ (m; 5H, aromatic H), 5.09 (t, ${}^{3}J_{10, 9} = 7.6 \text{ Hz}$; 1 H, 10-H), 4.11 (d, ${}^{3}J_{6, 6a} = 11.2 \text{ Hz}$; 1 H, 6-H), 3.63 - 3.30 (m; 4H, 1,3-H), 2.78 - 2.65 (m; 1H, 6a-H), 2.19 - 1.08 (m; 8H, 9,2,8,7-H). - ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 150.9$ (C-4a), 140.1 (C-10a), 138.1 (C-1'), 128.7ª (C-2'), 128.6ª (C-3'), 127.9 (C-4'), 104.2 (C-10), 49.5 (C-6), 46.5 (C-3), 45.1 (C-1), 42.0 (C-6a), 27.8^b (C-7), 24.7^b (C-9), 22.6 (C-2), 20.4 (C-8); a, b alternative assignment also possible. — MS: m/z (%) = 285(21), 284.1205 (100, M⁺, C₁₇H₂₀N₂S⁺), 283(19), 255(12), 251(10), 226(12), 212(4), 198(11), 193(5), 184(6), 148(7), 134(6), 117(10), 115(9), 100.0220 (12, C₄H₆NS⁺), 91(19), 71.9950 (23, $C_2H_2NS^+$).

> C₁₇H₂₀N₂S (284.4) Calcd. C 71.79 H 7.09 S 11.27 Found C 71.58 H 7.21 S 11.14

1,2,5,6,7,8,9,10-Octahydro-5-phenylcyclohept[d]imidazo[2,1-b]-[1,3]thiazine (4a): Yield 3.80 g (67%), m.p. 122-124°C (ether). -IR (CCl₄): $2925/2850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (CH), 1650 (C=N), 1570 (C=C). -1 HNMR: $\delta = 7.29 - 7.15$ (m; 5H, aromatic H), 4.37 (s; 1H, 5-H), 3.97 - 3.60 (m; 4H, 1,2-H), 2.51 (t, ${}^{3}J_{10.9} = 5.3$ Hz; 2H, 10-H), 2.49 - 2.07 (m; 2H, 6-H), 1.75 - 1.28 (m; 6H, 9.8,7-H). - ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 156.1$ (C-3a), 141.8 (C-10a), 137.6 (C-1'), 128.4a (C-2'), 127.1 (C-4'), 127.0° (C-3'), 111.6 (C-5a), 52.6 (C-2), 48.4 (C-5), 47.4 (C-1), 33.5 (C-6), 31.3 (C-9), 30.1 (C-10), 26.6 (C-7), 25.0 (C-8); a, b alternative assignment also possible. — MS: m/z (%) = 285(22), 284(100, M⁺), 283 (71), 256(4), 255(6), 251(14), 241(38), 230.0855 $(7, C_{13}H_{14}N_2S^+), 227(8), 224.1421(9, C_{16}H_{18}N^+), 212(22), 193(19),$ 141(10), 117(12), 115(21), 91(29), 86(28).

> C₁₇H₂₀N₂S (284.4) Calcd. C 71.79 H 7.09 S 11.27 Found C 71.83 H 7.17 S 11.19

CAS Registry Numbers

1a: 96-45-7 / 1b: 2055-46-1 / 2a: 5679-13-0 / 2b: 5682-83-7 / 2c: 42063-01-4 / 3a: 108120-89-4 / 3b: 108120-90-7 / 3c: 108120-90-791-8 / 4a: 108120-92-9 / thiourea: 62-56-6

¹⁾ R. Zimmermann, Angew. Chem. 75 (1963) 1025; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2 (1963) 738.

²⁾ G. Jaenecke, K. Teubner, Z. Chem. 9 (1969) 27.

³⁾ P. Perjési, D. Szabó, Gy. Batta, A. Földesi, Tetrahedron Lett. 28

<sup>(1987) 571.

4) 4</sup>a) K. K. Sharma, N. K. Ralhan, K. S. Narang, J. Org. Chem. 28
(1963) 740. — 4b) Daiichi Seiyaku Co., Ltd. (F. Ishikawa, A. Ko-(1963) 740. — ^{4b)} Daiichi Seiyaku Co., Ltd. (F. Ishikawa, A. Kosasayama, K. Abiko, Inv.), Jap. Pat. 78 44,593 (21.4.1987) [*Chem.* Abstr. 89 (1978) P 197584].

⁵⁾ The compounds are racemates. Only one enantiomer is shown in the Scheme.

⁶ E. Pretsch, T. Clerc, J. Seibl, W. Simon, Tabellen zur Strukturaufklärung organischer Verbindungen mit spektroskopischen

Methoden, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York 1976.

7) 7a) W. E. Hull, Bruker Report 1978/1, 4. — 7b) J. H. Noggle, R. E. Schirmer, The Nuclear Overhauser Effect, Academic Press, New York, 1971. — 7c) L. D. Hall, I. K. M. Sanders, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102 (1980) 5703.

⁸⁾ M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys. 30 (1959) 11.

⁹⁾ D. M. Grant, B. V. Cheney, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89 (1967) 5315.